INTRODUCTION	ADAPTIVITY	EXAMPLES	CONCLU
00	000000	00000	0

Adaptive Polynomial Dimensional Decompositions for Uncertainty Quantification in High Dimensions

Vaibhav Yadav & Sharif Rahman The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242

ICOSSAR, Columbia University, NY, June 2013 Work supported by NSF (CMMI-1130147)

INTRODUCTION	ADAPTIVITY	EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
00	000000	00000	o
Outline			



ADAPTIVITY









Input
$$\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^N \to$$

SYSTEM \longrightarrow Output $y(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{R}$
 $\mathbf{X} \sim (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P); \ y \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$

• ANOVA Dimensional Decomposition

$$y(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\}} y_u(\mathbf{X}_u)$$

• PDD

$$y(\mathbf{X}) := y_{\emptyset} + \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}_{|u|} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{|u|} \\ j_{1}, \cdots, j_{|u|} \neq 0}} C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}} \psi_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}(\mathbf{X}_{u})$$

$$\begin{split} \psi_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}(\mathbf{X}_{u}) &\to \text{product of univariate orthonormal polynomials} \\ y_{\emptyset} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} y(\mathbf{x}) f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}; \quad C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} y(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}(\mathbf{x}_{u}) f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ & \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{$$

INTRODUCTION ADAPTIVITY EXAMPLES CONCLUSIONS 00 000000 000000 0 PDD Approximation 0 0

• S-variate, mth-order PDD Approximation

$$\tilde{y}_{S,m}(\mathbf{X}) = y_{\emptyset} + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ 1 \leq |u| \leq S}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}_{|u|} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{|u|}, \|\mathbf{j}_{|u|}\|_{\infty} \leq m \\ j_{1}, \cdots, j_{|u|} \neq 0}} C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}} \psi_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}(\mathbf{X}_{u})$$

An Example (S = 2)

$$\tilde{y}_{2,m}(\mathbf{X}) = y_{\emptyset} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{ij} \psi_{ij}(X_i) + \sum_{i_1 < i_2}^{N} \sum_{j_2=1}^{m} \sum_{j_1=1}^{m} C_{i_1 i_2 j_1 j_2} \psi_{i_1 j_1}(X_{i_1}) \psi_{i_2 j_2}(X_{i_2})$$

- How to select truncation parameters: S, m?
- Given S, all component functions may not be needed
- m can be different for different component functions

INTRODUCTION	ADAPTIVITY	EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
00	•00000	00000	o
Global Sensitivity Analysis			

• Sensitivity Indices for $\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ and m_u



G̃_{u,mu}: m_uth-order contribution of y_u (**X**_u) to σ²
Δ*G̃_{u,mu}*: change in *G̃_{u,mu}* for an increase in m_u

INTRODUCTION	ADAPTIVITY	EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
00	o●oooo	00000	o
	חחח		

Adaptive-Sparse PDD

• Fully Adaptive-Sparse PDD ∞

$$\bar{y}(\mathbf{X}) := y_{\emptyset} + \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\}} \sum_{m_u=1} \sum_{\substack{m_u=1 \\ \tilde{G}_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_1, \Delta \tilde{G}_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_2}} C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}\psi_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}(\mathbf{X}_u)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\}} \sum_{m_u=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{j}_{|u|}| \\ \tilde{G}_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_1, \Delta \tilde{G}_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_2}} C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}^2$$

- $G_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_1$: degree of interaction
- $\Delta \tilde{G}_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_2$: order of polynomial
- If $\epsilon_1 \to 0$ and $\epsilon_2 \to 0$, then $\bar{y}(\mathbf{X}) \to y(\mathbf{X})$

INTRODUCTION	ADAPTIVITY	EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
00	00000	00000	0
	חחח		

Adaptive-Sparse PDD

• Partially Adaptive-Sparse PDD

$$\bar{y}_{S}(\mathbf{X}) := y_{\emptyset} + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ 1 \leq |u| \leq S}} \sum_{m_{u}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{|\mathbf{j}|_{u}| \\ \tilde{G}_{u,m_{u}} > \epsilon_{1}, \Delta \tilde{G}_{u,m_{u}} > \epsilon_{2}}} C_{u\mathbf{j}|_{u}|}\psi_{u\mathbf{j}|_{u}|}(\mathbf{X}_{u})$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_{S}^{2} = \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ 1 \leq |u| \leq S}} \sum_{m_{u}=1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{j}_{|u|} \right\|_{\infty} = m_{u}, j_{1}, \cdots, j_{|u|} \neq 0$$
$$\tilde{G}_{u,m_{u}} > \epsilon_{1}, \Delta \tilde{G}_{u,m_{u}} > \epsilon_{2}$$

- $\tilde{G}_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_1$: degree of interaction
- $\Delta \tilde{G}_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_2$: order of polynomial
- If $\epsilon_1 \to 0$ and $\epsilon_2 \to 0$, then $\bar{y}_S(\mathbf{X}) \to \tilde{y}_{S,m}(\mathbf{X})$ as $m \to \infty$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のへで

INTRODUCTION	
00	

Numerical Implementation



- Ranking convergence
 - ranking discrepancy ratio

$$\frac{N_{m_u}}{L} < \epsilon_3$$

- Full ranking
 - $m_u \leftarrow m_u + 1$
 - calculate $C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}$
 - for each $y_u(\mathbf{X}_u)$, $u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\}$
- Reduced ranking
 - $m_u \leftarrow m_u + 1$
 - calculate $C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}$
 - for each $y_u(\mathbf{X}_u)$, with $\tilde{G}_{u,m_u} > \epsilon_1$

Reduced ranking can be highly efficient

INTRODUCTION	ADAPTIVITY	EXAMPLES	CONC
00	0000€0	00000	o
<u> </u>			

Computational Efforts

• Total number of coefficients

$$\tilde{K}_{S,m} = 1 + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ 1 \leq |u| \leq S}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}_{|u|} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{|u|}, \|\mathbf{j}_{|u|}\|_{\infty} \leq m \\ j_{1}, \cdots, j_{|u|} \neq 0}} 1 = \sum_{k=0}^{S} \binom{N}{k} m^{k}$$
$$\bar{K} = 1 + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\}}} \sum_{\substack{m_{u}=1 \\ \tilde{G}_{u,m_{u}} > \epsilon_{1}, \Delta \tilde{G}_{u,m_{u}} > \epsilon_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{m_{u}=1 \\ 1 \leq |u| \leq S}} \left[m_{u}^{|u|} - (m_{u} - 1)^{|u|} \right]$$
$$\bar{K}_{S} = 1 + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\}}} \sum_{\substack{m_{u}=1 \\ 1 \leq |u| \leq S}} \sum_{\substack{m_{u}=1 \\ \tilde{G}_{u,m_{u}} > \epsilon_{1}, \Delta \tilde{G}_{u,m_{u}} > \epsilon_{2}}} \left[m_{u}^{|u|} - (m_{u} - 1)^{|u|} \right]$$

- If $\epsilon_1 \to 0$, and $\epsilon_2 \to 0$, then $\bar{K}_S \to \tilde{K}_{S,m}$ as $m \to \infty$
- If m is the largest polynomial order in adaptive-sparse PDD, then $\bar{K}_S \leq \tilde{K}_{S,m}$, for $\epsilon_1 > 0$, and $\epsilon_2 > 0$

 α



Coefficient Calculation

• Dim.-Reduction Integration (Xu and Rahman, 2004) with Full-grid and Sparse-grid

$$C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}} \cong \sum_{k=0}^{R} (-1)^k {\binom{N-R+k-1}{k}} \sum_{\substack{u \subseteq \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ |u|=R-k}} \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|u|}} y(\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{c}_{-u}) \psi_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}(\mathbf{x}_u) f_{\mathbf{X}_u}(\mathbf{x}_u) d\mathbf{x}_u$$

• Quasi Monte Carlo Simulation

- Generate a low-discrepancy point set $\mathcal{P}_L := \left\{ \mathbf{u}^{(k)} \in [0,1]^N, \ k = 1, \cdots, L \right\}; \ L \in \mathbb{N}$
- **2** Map $\mathbf{u}^{(k)}$ to the input sample $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^N$
- Approximate

$$C_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}} \cong \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=1}^{L} y\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right) \psi_{u\mathbf{j}_{|u|}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}^{(k)}\right)$$

INTRODUCTION
 $\circ\circ\circ$ ADAPTIVITY
 $\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ$ EXAMPLES
 $\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ$ CONCLUSIC
 \circ FGM (SiC-Al) Plate Modal Analysis (N = 34)

Random Input

• Particle Vol. Fraction (Beta RF)

$$\begin{split} \phi_p(x) &\cong F_p^{-1} \left[\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{28} X_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} \psi_i(x)\right) \right] \\ \mu_p(x) &= 1 - x/L \\ \sigma_p(x) &= (1 - x/L)x/L \\ \Gamma_\alpha(\tau) &= \exp[-|\tau|/(0.125L)] \end{split}$$

• Constituent Mat. Prop. (RVs)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E(x) &\cong& E_p \phi_p(x) + E_m [1 - \phi_p(x)] \\ \nu(x) &\cong& \nu_p \phi_p(x) + \nu_m [1 - \phi_p(x)] \\ \rho(x) &\cong& \rho_p \phi_p(x) + \rho_m [1 - \phi_p(x)] \end{array}$$

 $E_p, E_m, \nu_p, \nu_m, \rho_p, \rho_m \to 6$ LN variables

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \{X_1, \cdots, X_{34}\}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{34}$$

Coeff. calc. by dim.-red. integration







INTRODUCTION	ADAPTIVITY	EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
00	000000	00000	o
Disk Brake S	System: Brake Se	queal Analysis	





RV	Quantity	a_i	b_i
X_1	$ ho_{ m rotor},{ m kg/m}^3$	5329	9071
X_2	$\rho_{\rm back plate}, \rm kg/m^3$	5788	9851
X_3	$\rho_{\rm insulator},{\rm kg/m^3}$	5788	9851
X_4	$\rho_{\rm pad},{\rm kg/m^3}$	1858	3162
X_5	$E_{\rm rotor},~{\rm GPa}$	92.52	157.5
X_6	$E_{\rm back plate}, {\rm GPa}$	153.2	260.8
X_7	$E_{\text{insulator}}, \text{ GPa}$	153.2	260.8
X_8	$E_{1,\text{pad}}, \text{ GPa}$	4.068	6.924
X_9	$E_{2,\text{pad}}, \text{ GPa}$	4.068	6.924
X_{10}	$E_{3,\text{pad}}, \text{ GPa}$	1.468	2.498
X_{11}	$G_{12,\text{pad}}, \text{ GPa}$	1.917	3.263
X_{12}	$G_{13,\text{pad}}, \text{ GPa}$	0.873	1.486
X_{13}	$G_{23,\mathrm{pad}}, \mathrm{GPa}$	0.873	1.486
X_{14}	$p, \mathrm{kg}/\mathrm{mm}^2$	370.1	629.9
X_{15}	ω , rad/s	3.701	6.299
X_{16}	μ	0.50	0.70
	· MOOL C	1	

500 Quasi MCS for coeff. $calc_{\Xi}$, \equiv

INTRODUCTION ADAPTIVITY EXAMPLES CONCLUSIONS 000000 00000 00000 0

Disk Brake System

• Moments of unstable mode shapes



Disk Brake System

• PDF of effective damping ratios $R_{i} = -2 \text{Re} \left[\lambda_{u}^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{X} \right) \right] / \text{Im} |\lambda_{u}^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{X} \right) |$



EXAMPLES 0000

INTRODUCTION	ADAPTIVITY	EXAMPLES	CONCLUSIONS
00	000000	00000	•

Conclusions

- An adaptive-sparse algorithm for PDD developed
- Global sensitivity analysis employed to retain component functions
- Does not require PDD truncation parameters to be assigned *a priori* or arbitrarily
- Achieves a desired level of accuracy with fewer coefficients

• High-dimensional complex engineering problems solved using the new method